UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,929	11/19/2003	Karl Guthrie	P 6040.13006	3155
	7590 03/09/201 ctual Property, LLC		EXAMINER	
900 SW Fifth A	venue, Suite 1820		LUGO, CARLOS	
Portland, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/718,929	GUTHRIE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CARLOS LUGO	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 No	ovember 2009.					
· <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	, 					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-36,55-58 and 71</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-36,55-58 and 71</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
	, 🗀					

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on November 16, 2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 34-36, 58 and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 3,332,118 to Temple et al (Temple) in view of US Pat No 5,702,218 to Onofrio, US Pat No 4,615,514 to Hamlin and further in view of US Pat No 3,288,014 to Mortensen.

Regarding claim 34, Temple discloses a bolt comprising a flexible cable (1) and a toggle bar (3) connected to the end of the cable. The bolt is capable of holding a user to prevent the user from falling. The toggle bar is adapted to pivot between a closed position for insertion through the hole and an open position in which the bar cannot be withdrawn.

However, Temple fails to disclose that the bolt further comprises a hole plug, that an end of the toggle bar is adapted for locking engagement with the hole plug in the closed position and a plug biasing spring for biasing the hole plug toward the toggle bar.

Onofrio teaches that it is well known in the art to provide a similar device that includes a rod (12) connected to a bar (18), and a hole plug (16') that is fitted in a hole of a structure capable of centering the device in place. Onofrio illustrates that the plug has a "substantially" cylindrical hole-plugging portion circumferentially engaging the hole (22). Onofrio further teach the use of a plug biasing compression spring (26) for biasing the hole plug (16') toward the toggle bar.

Hamlin teaches that it is well known in the art to provide a hole plug (36) that includes a recess that is capable of receiving and holding a toggle bar in a closed position.

Mortensen teaches that it is well known in the art to provide a cylindrical plug (10) that engages the cylindrical surface of the hole (Figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the bolt described by Temple with a hole plug, having the characteristics as taught by Onofrio, Mortensen and Hamlin, in order to held the bar in a closed position and to center the device when is placed with respect to the structure.

Temple further fails to disclose a toggle pivot control member for manipulation of a toggle bar and that the hole plug includes separate apertures for the cable and the control member.

Hamlin teaches that it is well known in the art to use of a toggle pivot control member (24 and 26) for manipulation of a toggle bar between open and closed positions of the toggle bar. The toggle pivot control member includes a handle end (25 and 27) and a control end (28 and 30) attached to the toggle bar.

Mortensen teaches that it is well known in the art to provide a plug (10) that includes apertures (Figures 5 and 6) so that a member (38) and a pivot control member (26) can extend in a respective aperture.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Temple with a control member, as taught by Hamlin, in order to manipulate the toggle bar between an open and a closed positions.

Further, it would have been obvious to provide temple with a hole plug that comprises respective apertures for the members that extends through the plug, as taught by Mortensen, in order to separate the members so as to prevent any rupture or damage between the members that pass through the plug.

As to claim 35, Temple, as modified by Onofrio, Hamlin and Mortensen, teaches that the end of the toggle bar is adapted for releasable retention in a recess of the hole plug to provide the locking engagement.

As to claim 36, Temple, as modified by Onofrio, Hamlin and Mortensen, teaches that the end of the toggle bar is tapered to provide for the retention.

As to claims 58 and 71, Temple, as modified by Onofrio, Hamlin and Mortensen, teaches a method for anchoring to an object having a hole threrethrough leading to an opening space comprising the steps of providing a safety toggle bolt having a cable member and a toggle bar pivotally connected to the cable; locking the toggle bar in a closed position; the step of inserting at least the toggle bar portion through the hole; and the step of pushing on the cable member so as to cause the toggle bar

Application/Control Number: 10/718,929 Page 5

Art Unit: 3673

to open and thereby become oriented in the opening space so as to prevent the

toggle bar portion from passing back through the hole.

4. Claims 55-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US

Pat No 3,332,118 to Temple et al (Temple) in view of US Pat No 5,702,218 to

Onofrio, US Pat No 4,615,514 to Hamlin and in view of US Pat No 3,288,014 to

Mortensen, and further in view of US Pat No 5,209,621 to Burbidge.

Temple, as modified by Onofrio, Hamlin and Mortensen, fails to disclose that the

toggle bar further comprises a toggle bar return spring attached to the toggle bar.

Burbidge teaches that it is well known in the art to have a toggle bolt assembly

comprising a toggle bar (18) that has a toggle bar returns spring (40).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to incorporate into Temple's device a toggle return spring, as

taught by Burbidge, in order to bias the toggle bar to the open position after been

inserted through the opening.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on November 16, 2009 have been fully considered but

they are not persuasive. Therefore, the rejection is maintained. The applicant can

either file a pre appeal request or just an appeal brief. Prosecution is close.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy

as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CARLOS LUGO whose telephone number is (571)272-7058. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-7pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

Application/Control Number: 10/718,929 Page 7

Art Unit: 3673

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Carlos Lugo/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673

March 4, 2010.